

September 14, 2026

- admin@ricercando.eu -

Copyright for this text is entirely and exclusively with:

Dr. Lothar Riemenschneider, FINITEST PUBLISHING, Berlin, Konstanz/Fed. Republic of Germany North Berwick, East Lothian, SCO/UK –

Disregard of property and usage rights, unauthorized use, copying, linking, forwarding, commenting etc. of texts, parts of texts and all photos, individually, also in montage, contexts, compilations without permission will indictably be PROSECUTED !

September 14 2026

FLAT NOSES and HORSESHOES :

Fascists, "Leftists," Islamists, the helpless dishonesty of "party" democracy—and Germany's new ("NAZIS") who claim not to be Nazis.

01. The Hypocrisy of "Parties", and their "Cross-Fronts"

In Germany, alongside the far-right "AfD"—currently "democratically" favoured nationwide by about 30 percent of "responsible voters"—there a.o. is also an "Islamic" "party" "***Alliance for Innovation and Justice***", German abbreviation "BiG") which claims to champion the interests of migrants and (cl)aims to address "the changes of a multicultural and pluralistic society through innovative and pragmatic political solutions."

However, "BiG" is anything but "multicultural"; it is a party founded almost exclusively by Muslims of Turkish descent, yet it insists it does not "view itself as an Islamic party".

Like many Muslim institutions in Germany, it is considered to be funded by Turkey—specifically Erdoğan's AKP party.

Such extensive, opaque funding arrangements—which remain unaddressed by the political establishment—alongside years of uncontrolled immigration and the failure to deport large numbers of individuals ineligible for asylum or residency, are regarded as key factors behind the "electoral successes" of the "AfD".

Following an election, Hülya Doğan—a "BiG" councilor and long-time activist with the "Council of Muslims"—stated that she was serving on the city council "not merely as Hülya Doğan, but as a representative for all women who wear headscarves". According to such a worldview, it would seem that Muslim women who do not wear headscarves simply do or should not exist (<http://www.spiegel.de/politik/deutschland/migrantenpartei-in-nrw-wahlkampf-fuer-das-kopftuch-a-692370.html>).

However, when I first saw an election manifesto from "BiG," I could only conclude that—for the most part—it read almost exactly like an "AfD" manifesto: that is, the "AfD" which claims that combating "excessive immigration" and the threat posed by Islam as one of its "core" tasks and areas of competence.

It became clear (to me) that the "dishonesty" of the "established parties"—which the "AfD" brandishes as a propaganda shield—is just as much a trait of the "AfD" as it is of all other "parties."

The question arises—though it is never actually put to the parties or the "party system" itself—as to whether dishonesty is not an inevitable and necessary core characteristic of any "party" (or "partisanship") that champions specific "programs" and "convictions" while attempting to exclude, ignore, or combat all other "convictions"—whether equally valid or equally dishonest, invented and distorted through insularity : a behaviour which contradicts the very essence of a free, "democratic" mindset—which these parties claim to "represent" and "strengthen", which but is fundamentally incompatible with it.

In short: do they not inevitably destroy the very "democratic," "pluralistic," and "free" society they claim to represent and strengthen?

Yet the fundamental dubiousness and dangerousness inherent in "political parties" as such are conveniently projected onto the "AfD"—a party with which, otherwise, there is a conspicuous lack of any serious, substantive, confrontational unsparing dispute and argumentation.

For all the aspects of "AfD" that—rightly—appear intolerable, unacceptable, and worthy of rejection, this does not absolve us from addressing the fundamental questions surrounding the institution of "political parties" and the questionable nature of the "party" system itself—questions that remain never actually explicitly asked.

For instance, in the federal state of Saxony-Anhalt, Eastern Germany—former territory of "GDR," where a single party (SED/"United Socialists Germany") exercised absolute rule—the SED's *direct "successor party"* (now known as "Die Linke"/ "Left") refuses any dialogue with AfD after them having won 43% votes in recent elections.

It justifies this stance by claiming that "Die Linke" sees no basis for discussion with a party that "singles out people based on their origin, perceived affiliation, or convictions." The AfD, they "argue", aggressively drives social division and stokes a climate of fear, a breakdown of inhibitions, and arbitrary conduct.

Thus says a "party" that is responsible, for instance, for almost all the "Israel-critical" "demonstrations" of recent years and months featuring chants of "Gas The Jews", a party that works closely with Islamists—and not just in the Berlin district of Neukölln, which is threatened, dominated, and occupied by "radical Islamists"; a party whose lead candidate makes political capital out of the claim that she and her family had been "persecuted" as "Leftists" in Turkey, yet omits the fact that for "Leftists"—including and especially in Turkey (ranging from the "social-democratic" CHP to socialist and communist parties like the TKP and TİP)—Israel is regarded as an "imperialist outpost" of the USA in the Middle East. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antisemitism_in_Turkey].

The "commitment to the P*lestinian Cause" dates back to the 1970s, when a.o. Turk far-left extremists received military training from the PLO.

In "left-wing" Turkish publications, the boundary between "legitimate criticism of Israel" and overt antisemitism is barely discernible—or blurs completely.

The State of Israel is sometimes demonized as inherently malevolent, while "Zionism" is—in flagrant defiance of reality and historical fact—vilified as a "conspiracy" that supposedly stokes antisemitism itself.

When conflicts in the "Middle East" escalate, "leftists" generally fail to distinguish between the Israeli government and Jewish people in general.

The remaining Jewish minority in Turkey (numbering only evermore about 15,000 to 20,000 people) is then held collectively accountable for "Israel's policies" or publicly pressured to "distance themselves."

Hatred of Jews, prejudice, and antisemitism are prevalent in Turkish popular culture and media; "leftists," too, consciously or unconsciously resort to antisemitic tropes. Large-scale protests "against Israel" regularly give rise to "**Cross-Front**" alliances (or "horseshoe" coalitions): "leftists" demonstrate side by side with fascist Islamists and nationalists, united by a common denominator of ("Israel-related") antisemitism that bridges political ideological divides.

Equally disingenuous, of course, is the "friendliness toward Israel" currently flaunted by the AfD; this stance stems solely from an undifferentiated, blanket rejection of "Muslims" and lacks any real substance.

What ought to strike one immediately upon comparing the "BiG" party platform with corresponding statements by the "AfD"—though apparently almost none of the "informed" and "eligible" voters wish to notice it—is the obvious existence of an ideological "**cross-front**" involving both the "Right" (neo-Nazis) and the "Left" regarding the AfD and (fascist) "Islamism."

It is exactly a party like SED/"Die Linke"—of all parties—that had made the exclusion, condemnation, and hatred of Jews already the order of the day in former "Socialist States"; that explicitly and as a matter of policy sorts in and out humans according to "origin", perceived "affiliation" and "conviction"; that seeks to "expropriate" 'The Rich', divide people into "oppressors" and "oppressed," and propagates private property and the private sector as crimes per se; and whose supporters deliberately stage attacks and demonstrations against 'The Rich' and their "neighbourhoods" as well as "against Jews"—that now refuses to engage in dialogue with other parties "because" they do the same things as the "Left," only targeting different "groups of people".

One could hardly find a more striking example of the general, fundamental dishonesty—or, to be precise, the complete lack of capacity for self-criticism—inherent in the nature of these "parties."

It is understandable for "voters" to find such dishonesty intolerable; yet it is incomprehensible—and utterly devoid of credibility—for "voters" to choose, of all things, a "party" that - like "AfD" - serves as an absurd, grotesque embodiment of that very same shameless self-righteousness and total inability to engage in self-criticism.

02. *The dishonesty of "adult, responsible" voters*

One can only conclude that such dishonesty is precisely what "voters"—contrary to their own claims—find extremely attractive: because they see themselves represented, "accepted," and "at home" within it.

And "democracies" are supposed to rest on the verdict of such "voters"?

How stupid must one be to parrot such beliefs and empty phrases, and to claim that avowed anti-democrats are "preservers of democracy"?

The relationship between "voters" and "parties" (or their "representatives") is a *perpetuum mobile* of dishonesty: no one thinks to criticize the system or individuals within it, because any "criticism" would reflect back upon oneself.

The "success" of the AfD rests solely on the fact that they—of all people the greatest profiteers of this dishonesty—are allowed to pretend they are not part of it.

And when the whole thing unravels and goes wrong, the "informed voter" will "later" simply say (again) "We couldn't possibly have known any of that" - and will get away with it again ?

Such dishonesty can only become entrenched as a habit if any serious debate fails to take place—or is habitually suppressed.

As 'traditionally' always, Germany sets a "prime example" of CENSORSHIP whenever unequivocal, clear, and "hurtful" words are, or "threaten" to be, spoken.

"Leftists," "Rightists," and the self-proclaimed "Center" in this regard are no different from one another: they are all equally weak when it comes to coping with criticism—whether self-directed or from others—and equally strong in vehemently rejecting it.

Healthcare costs skyrocketing, just as consequences of using fossil fuels—and many similar examples could be cited—, yet a.o. the idea of "eating healthier"—sugar-free, whole-food, free of "animal products"? "I won't let anyone tell me what to do!" is the reaction of the idiots who now a.o., Robert Kennedy-wise, want to "critically examine Covid policy" in hindsight.

The discussion fails from the beginning when it gets avoided to mention that, in this regard, "AfD" voters—just like hardline leftists...!—were among those who as well most zealously insisted on "compliance with regulations" as being frequently among those who gleefully and in the name of "completely personal responsibility" rejected any guidelines as "patronizing"; they were incapable or unwilling to engage in rational, critical (self-)reflection or to apply "regulations" with creative responsibility—instead, there was and is only self-righteousness.

When one sees the rabble—there is no other word for it—of "AfD" Fans standing together with their old-new idols at campaign events, what stands out most is their apparent "good mood" which seems to say: "Finally, there's no one here to spoil our 'good mood' or 'tell us what to do'". An absence of critical thinking, an inability to engage in constructive debate, and a failure to develop communication skills—in short, the absence and rejection of everything that defines an "empowered" citizen capable of participating in a democracy—characterizes the behavior of this rabble.

The "troubling aspect" here is not that this "rabble" gets called what it *IS*, but rather that this was left unsaid for too long—and that this silence in all seriousness became trivialized as a "democratic process."

What is troubling is that no one wanted to notice or say—or was allowed to say—that exactly the very people bleating "We finally ought to be allowed to say what we really think" are the same ones who "feel horrified" and cry-fly into a rage when told, with unsparing bluntness, exactly as who and what they *ARE* seen.

(It is the same story on the "Left": just apply the standards of "tolerance," "humanity," or "diversity"—which they themselves invoke so hypocritically—to their own "convictions" and their actual, incredibly simple-minded, dull, and misanthropic behaviour).

The notion that the AfD appears "cheerful" on such campaign occasions is utterly detached from reality; after all, what they are celebrating is precisely their "typically German" surliness and provincialism—their rejection of anything "foreign"—just as the "Left" (the SED) can only maintain the facade of being "tolerant" and "cosmopolitan" until someone from the 95% of *REAL* people comes along and exposes their entire, pathologically narrow "perception" of the world for the lie and pathology it is, revealing "socialism" or "communism" for what it truly is: a form of pure Mental Illness, just like a.o. Jew Hate/"Antisemitism".

What some might wish to perceive as "cheerful" is, in reality, pure *Schadenfreude*—and it unconsciously instills deep fear in the majority of voters who are firmly opposed to the AfD or the SED/"Left" Party, as it signals a catastrophic breach in the dam holding back *ressentiment*.

Max Scheler, for instance, famously described *ressentiment* (in *Ressentiment in the Building of Morals*, 1912) as a form of "psychic self-poisoning" or a pent-up "sense of powerlessness": real, "felt", or even imagined "slights" go unaddressed and unprocessed—due to inhibition, weakness, or social constraints ("censorship")—and instead accumulate as feelings of rage, vengeance, and envy within *ressentiment*, eating their way into a person's soul until they dominate that individual's perception and distort their value system.

And because these people cannot attain what they actually desire (power, success, money, to "be heard" etc.), they devalue the object of their desire—according to Scheler—until their suppressed "feelings" discharge against those who are supposedly or actually more successful "even despite" the fact that these successful individuals are not nearly as "conformist," "loyal" or "decent" as the self-proclaimed "true" Leftists or Rightists imagine themselves to be or have molded themselves into being: "The Foreigners," "The Elite," "The Jews," "The Artists," "Intellectuals," "The Rich" and so on : all or most many of these objects of hatred for the "ordinary" "decent" citizen are absent from the meetings these Citizen Packs hold with AfD functionaries—which is why they are so "cheerful."

Scheler described the typical Hitler and Communist/"Socialist" voter decades in advance—though he could not foresee their reappearance as AfD/ SED/"Left" voters.

03. SLIME and CONCRETE: *the construction materials for results of mandated "tolerance" and inability to engage in real debate*

A wave of gloating, "amusing" vengefulness is washing across the country.

Yet no truly serious debate is taking place: people have lost the habit of it; it "violates" the norms of "proper conduct" and the "NetzDG" (Network Enforcement Act) championed a.o. by three "Social Democratic" justice ministers (<http://ricercando.eu/?p=105>).

Deciding not to "understand" and fatten up this rabble anymore—and to show them absolutely no quarter—would be a good idea; but for acting so, one would also have to learn not to spare *oneself*.

Anyone who uses the ballot box to applaud those who disparage people in power as "Flat Nose Morons"—and whose MPs (e.g., "Jürgen Kögel") bow before the "esteemed President Vladimir Putin" in the German Bundestag—is not merely electing or elevating morally bankrupt politicians; they are demonstrating that they themselves are—to put it extremely mildly—intellectually and mentally bankrupt.

Anyone who still refuses to see that "democracy is under threat" cannot possibly be acting from honorable motives.

In places where "German-style" censorship does not exist—such as Poland, Hungary, Great Britain, Sweden, Finland, Italy, Israel, or even France—the pressure valves for releasing "grievances" opened earlier and, in some cases, have already closed again; in Germany—the land of "You don't say things like that! Give the nice uncle the right hand!"—that suppressed pressure is now discharging explosively.

A "firewall" may well make sense—but not as a purely defensive measure devoid of the most aggressive, decisive offensive engagement possible; a form of engagement to which the people in the land of "You simply don't say things like that" are no longer accustomed.

Running up debt and papering over conflicts with money no longer works; Mr. Merz, like Merkel before him, is completely incapable of genuine confrontation, and Mr. Jens Spahn, too, "was too cowardly."

Unlike, for instance, Marine Le Pen's "RN" or Giorgia Meloni's "Fratelli d'Italia," the AfD has become increasingly radicalized over the past decade and a half—a fact underscored by three "right-wing" parliamentary groups in the EU: neither the ECR nor the PfE group, for example, wants the AfD in its ranks, deeming it "too extreme."

The AfD is an illiberal, racist, and *völkische* Party—fundamentally hostile toward "foreigners," loathing so-called "elites," and sowing and cultivating envy, malice, and resentment; it will continue to invent and slaughter new scapegoats, just like the war criminal in Moscow whom they revere.

Yet, they find models and blueprints—built of slime and concrete—for the forced homogenization, conformity, and suppression of "unwanted" ideas, as well as for a total inability and unwillingness to engage in genuine debate, finding hundreds of thousands examples for that in decades among the very "established parties" they claim to oppose.

Copyright for this text is entirely and exclusively with:

Dr. Lothar Riemenschneider, FiNiTESTi PUBLISHING, Berlin/Konstanz, Fed. Republic of Germany –

Disregard of property and usage rights, unauthorized use, copying, linking, forwarding, commenting etc. of texts, parts of texts and all photos, individually, also in montage, contexts, compilations without permission will indictably be PROSECUTED !

September 14th, 2026